arXiv:1607.08136v1 [math-ph] 27 Jul 2016

Hopf Algebra of Topological Recursion

Xiang-Mao Ding[1*], Yuping Li[1†], Lingxian Meng[2,1‡]
[1] *Institute of Applied Mathematics, Academy of Mathematics and Systems Science; Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100190, People's Republic of China*
[2] *College of Mathematics and Information Science, Zhengzhou University of Light Industry Zhengzhou 450002, People's Republic of China*

Abstract

We show that there exists a Hopf algebraic structure for the topological recursion on arbitrary algebraic curves proposed by B. Eynard and N. Orantin, which include the one-loop equations of various matrix integrals as special cases.

Keywords: topological recursion, Hopf algebra, matrix integral.

1 Introduction

Correlation functions are basic quantities to be defined for lots of physic systems. For a matrix model, the correlation functions are given by kinds of matrix integrals. As observed by G.'t Hooft etc[16, 4], the integrals contain much useful information, which is important in mathematics research and physical applications. The quantities of matrix integrals admit a topological expansion, in certain cases, they enumerate discrete coloured surfaces of a given topology, while the well known Kontsevich integrals count the numbers of intersection. In appropriate double scaling limits, some integrals reach to a Liouville theory. So the study of various matrix integrals is one of important research fields in mathematical physics.

Many working approaches have been set up in the last decades, such as orthogonal polynomials method[15], loop equations[1] and topological string method[8, 9]. Among

*Email: xmding@amss.ac.cn
†Email: liyuping@amss.ac.cn
‡Email: menglingxian@amss.ac.cn

them, the so called loop equation method is the most effective one at present in some sense. The loop equations were named as well as the Schwinger-Dyson equations for cases. The original form of the loop equations emerged in study of the Yang-Mills gauge theory[20]. Then, similar equations were obtained for cases of matrix model. In fact, in matrix model cases, the loop equations were functional identities for matrix integrals. The loop equations for hermitian matrix integrals were given in [22]. At that time, the loop equation was a key step in the study of matrix integrals in the double scaling limit. In order to solve the problems being away from the double scaling limit, various algorithmic methods for calculation of the higher genus contributions were suggested in the following decades. In practice, if the genus zero equation was solved, then the higher-genus equations were iteratively solved genus by genus by using results of previous steps. Though these algorithms were ingenious, but only several lower order loop equations could be solved. It was very difficult to compute the results concretely for higher-genus cases.

A new type of loop equations was proposed by B.Eynard for one-hermitian matrix integrals[11]. After then, it was generalized to 2-matrix model case in the refs.[13, 5]. Different from the original loop equations, the new ones consider correlation functions on an algebraic curve given by the one-loop equation. The new approach combine elements which is depending on the algebraic curve. It allows us to compute the integrals by parts on the algebraic curve recursively. So the solutions have plentiful mathematical structures. The method can be applied to other problems which are irrelevant to matrix integrals. It implies that the structures of the solutions do not depending on the matrix integrals. In the ref.[14] B.Eynard and N.Orantin constructed the theory for an arbitrary algebraic curve with the so called topological recursion structure. The topological recursion is a recursive definition, which associates a double indexed family of differential forms $\omega_{g,n}$, with the two integers g and n are nonnegative. The $\omega_{g,n}$ are called the invariants of the spectral curve. Those invariants enjoy lots of fascinating properties, please see [14] for more details. If the algebraic curve is identical to one-loop equation of certain matrix integrals, then these new constructed correlation functions are coincided with the ones given by matrix integral.

The expression for perturbative expansion in quantum field theory(QFT) has a similar structure as the topological recursion. The perturbative expansion in QFT can be represented by Feynman diagrams. There are two kinds of Feynman diagrams: tree diagrams and loop ones. In Feynman diagrams approach, propagators are represented by lines, and interactions are represented by vertices. It was found that there was a Hopf algebra structure hidden in the relations of divergences in the expansions of amplitudes[17, 18]. The Hopf algebra was graded according to their sub-divergences. Moreover, regulation and normalization of the divergences were compatible with the Hopf algebra structure as well[6, 7]. In terms of algebra, these procedures were the Birkhoff decomposition, and the Schwinger-Dyson equation and the renormalization group equation can be restated in this terminology too. With the help of the Hochschild cohomology and the Schwinger-Dyson equation, the relationships of the contributions of a single graph to the full correlation

functions were established. With the help of the Hopf algebra, the computations of amplitudes can be simplified, even non-perturbative results could be obtained in certain cases.

Similar to the perturbative expansion in quantum field theory, The topological recursion on an algebraic curve has a diagrammatic representation. The diagrammatic representation for the topological recursion is Feynman diagram alike, but it is not Feynman diagram, for the diagrammatic representation of the topological recursion is arrowed. It is nature to ask whether there is a Hopf algebra structure in the topological recursion. Indeed, that there is a Hopf algebra structure in the tree diagrammatic representation with the help of the Loday-Ronco Hopf algebra [10]. But that structure cannot be extended to graphs with loops.

In this manuscript we present a Hopf algebraic structure in the topological recursion. Based on the Loday-Ronco Hopf algebra as well, if we redefine the product and the coproduct in planar binary trees, and then they form a new Hopf algebra. And the new algebra structure can be generalized to graphs with loops. These graphs are just the diagrammatic representations of topological recursion. Using the weight map defined by B.Eynard and N.Orantin as well [14], we induce a Hopf algebraic structure in topological recursion from its diagrammatic representation.

The manuscript is arranged as follows. In section 2, we introduce basic notations, and we briefly review the main results of Eynard-Orantin's topological recursion in ref.[14]. We will briefly pay attention on its diagrammatic representation. In the next section, we recall the Loday-Ronco Hopf algebra defined on planar binary trees. In section 4, we prove the equivalence between the diagrammatic representation of topological recursion and the graphs given in [10]. The main part of the manuscript is in the followed section. We give a new Hopf algebra structure in planar binary trees. It is straightforward to extend our structures on the graphs to ones with loops. Using the weight map, we get a Hopf algebraic structure in topological recursion, then the coproduct structure is identical to the topological recursion. In section 6, conclusions and further discussions are followed.

2 Topological recursion and diagrammatic representations

The primary version of loop equations derived by B.Eynard gives an effective recursive way to solve formal one-hermitian matrix integrals [11], the solutions depend on the one-loop equation rather than formal matrix integrals. Then B.Eynard and N.Orantin generalized the results for one-loop equation to the case for any algebraic curve, on which they constructed a sequence of differential forms, or geometric invariants named as correlation functions, and a sequence of complex numbers named as partition functions by the topological recursion, respectively. Here, we review the basic notations and main results of [14], we focus on only the correlation functions, and the argument can be applied to

the cases of partition functions as well.

Let one consider an arbitrary algebraic curve $\mathcal{E}(x,y)=0$, and denote the corresponding compact Riemann surface by Σ. For a local variable p, $dx(p)=0$ gives the branch points $\{a_i|i=1,...,n\}$. Assume that all the branch points $\{a_i|i=1,...,n\}$ are simple.

On the surface Σ, the basic ingredients in the correlation functions can be defined. Consider any point $p\in\Sigma$ and points $q,\ \overline{q}$ in the neighborhood of a fixed branch point,such that $x(q)=x(\overline{q})$. The two types of basic ingredients for the topological recursion are "vertex" and the Bergmann kernel[14]. The "vertex" is defined as:

$$\omega(q)=(y(q)-y(\overline{q}))dx(q) \tag{1}$$

The Bergmann kernel $B(p,q)$ is a symmetric 2-form. It is exactly one double pole at $p=q$ with no residue. For a canonical basis of cycles (A_I,B^I), such that $\int_{A_I}B=0$.

The $(k+1)$-point correlation function to order g has the following combinational structure:

$$\begin{aligned}&W_{k+1}^{(g)}(p,p_1,\dots,p_k)\\&=\sum_i \operatorname*{Res}_{q\to a_i} K(q,p)\left(\sum_{m=0}^{g}\sum_{J\subset K} W_{j+1}^{(m)}(q,p_J)W_{k-j+1}^{(g-m)}(\overline{q},p_{K/J})+W_{k+2}^{(g-1)}(q,\overline{q},p_K)\right)\end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

where

$$K(q,p)=\frac{\frac{1}{2}\int_q^{\bar{q}}B(\xi,q)}{\omega(q)} \tag{3}$$

the integration path in $K(p,q)$ is chosen in the neighborhood of a branch point. The recursive basis are

$$W_k^{(g)}=0\quad \text{for } g<0 \tag{4}$$

$$W_1^{(0)}(p)=0 \tag{5}$$

$$W_2^{(0)}(p_1,p_2)=B(p_1,p_2) \tag{6}$$

These notions are defined up to modular transformations on Σ. It can be proved that $W_{k+1}^{(g)}(p,p_1,\dots,p_k)$ is a symmetric function with respect to its variables[14].

The correlation functions as well as the partition functions defined on an algebraic curve have graphic representations. B.Eynard and N.Orantin introduced a set of graphs $\mathscr{G}_{k+1}^{g}(p,p_1,\dots,p_k)$[14]. Please note that the formulation are similar to Feynman diagrams in expression, but they are not Feynman diagrams. These graphs have two indices $k,\ g$, such that $k\geq 0, g\geq 0$ and $k+2g\geq 3$. $\mathscr{G}_{k+1}^{g}(p,p_1,\dots,p_k)$ is a set of connected trivalent graphs satisfied the following three conditions:

In each graph, there are $2g+k-1$ trivalent vertices called as vertices, k 1-valent vertices labeled with $p_1,\dots,p_k$ called as leaves and the 1-valent vertex labeled by p called as root.

There are $3g+2k-1$ edges, which consist of $2g+k-1$ arrowed edges and $k+g$ non-arrowed edges. The arrowed edges form a skeleton tree with the root p. The arrows from root to leaves induce a partial order $\mathscr{R}$ of the vertices. The non-arrowed edges contain k non-arrowed edges from a vertex to a leaf named as outlines, and g non-arrowed edges connected the pairs of vertices with the $\mathscr{R}$ relations.

For two edges, one arrowed and one non-arrowed have a common vertex, furthermore, the non-arrowed edge links this vertex to its descendant. Then we let the arrowed edge be the left child, and the non-arrowed one be the right child, labeling it by a black disk in the graph.

We denote the set of all graphs of correlation functions by $\mathscr{G} \equiv \cup_{k+2g\geq 3, k\geq 0, g\geq 0}\mathscr{G}^g_{k+1}(p, p_1, \ldots, p_k)$. The graphs in $\mathscr{G}$ are relevant to the correlation functions by the weight map given by B.Eynard and N.Orantin[14]. The ingredients in the correlation functions are expressed as basic elements of the graphs. The weight map ϕ is defined as: $\phi($ $) = B(p,q)$;

$\phi($  $) = K(p,q)$; and take a residue $q \longrightarrow \{a_i\}$ at each vertex q, and sum over all the branch points along the arrow from leaves to the root.

Under the weight ϕ they proved the relationship of the graphs and the correlations[14]:

$$W^{(g)}_{k+1}(p, p_1, \ldots, p_k) = \phi(\sum_{G\in\mathscr{G}^g_{k+1}(p,p_1,\ldots,p_k)} G) = \sum_{G\in\mathscr{G}^g_{k+1}(p,p_1,\ldots,p_k)} \phi(G) \tag{7}$$

If we interchange the left children with the right ones of a vertex in $\mathscr{G}$, the images under ϕ are the same. This will be encoded into symmetry factors. By equation (7), the elements of $W^{(g)}_{k+1}(p, p_1, \ldots, p_k)$ are one-to-one corresponding to the graphs in $\mathscr{G}^g_{k+1}(p, p_1, \ldots, p_k)$. So we will not distinguish them from now on. By the definition of $\mathscr{G}$, such as the diagrammatic representation $\mathscr{G}^2_1$ of $W^{(2)}_1$ contains the graphs given in Figure 1.

3 The Loday-Ronco Hopf algebra of planar binary trees

In this section, we review the Loday-Ronco Hopf algebra of planar binary trees. Details and proofs can be found in [19].

3.1 The Shuffle algebra over the symmetric groups

Let S_n be the set of permutations of order n. It is a symmetric group acting on $\{1, \cdots, n\}$. The trivial group S_0 contains only the unit element 1. An element $\rho \in S_n$ is denoted by its image $(\rho(1)\cdots\rho(n))$. Let $\mathbb{K}$ be a field. S_n generate a group algebra $\mathbb{K}[S_n]$. The disjoint union of S_n for $n \geq 0$ is denoted by S_∞, so



Figure 1: Graphs in $\mathscr{G}_1^2$ and the $\bullet$ denotes the right child.

$$\mathbb{K}[S_\infty] = \oplus_{n\geq 0}\mathbb{K}[S_n].$$

It is a graded vector space. An $(n,m)-$shuffle is defined as an element $\sigma \in S_{n+m}$ such that

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma(1) < \sigma(2) < \cdots < \sigma(n)\\ \sigma(n+1) < \sigma(n+2) < \cdots < \sigma(n+m).\end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

The set of all $(n,m)-$shuffles is denoted by $Sh_{n,m}$.

For any permutations $\rho \in S_m$ and $\sigma \in S_n$, define the product $\rho \times \sigma$ on S_∞ as a permutation in S_{n+m}, which is given by setting ρ action on the beginning m variables and σ action on the ending n variables.

There exists a graded Hopf algebra structure on $\mathbb{K}[S_\infty]$. For $x \in \mathbb{K}[S_n]$, $y \in \mathbb{K}[S_m]$, the product is defined as

$$x * y = Sh_{n,m} \circ (x \times y). \tag{9}$$

For any $\sigma \in \mathbb{K}[S_n]$, the coproduct can be defined as

$$\Delta(\sigma) = \sum_{i=0}^{n} \sigma_i \otimes \sigma'_{n-i}. \tag{10}$$

where $\sigma_i \in \mathbb{K}[S_i], \sigma'_{n-i} \in \mathbb{K}[S_{n-i}]$ such that

$$\sigma = (\sigma_i \times \sigma'_{n-i}) \circ \omega^{-1}. \tag{11}$$

For any $\sigma \in \mathbb{K}[S_n]$ and each i, it can be proved that there exists a unique $(i, n-i)-$shuffle and $\sigma_i \in \mathbb{K}[S_i], \sigma_{n-i} \in \mathbb{K}[S_{n-i}]$ verifying (11)[19]. With the product $*$ and the coproduct Δ, $\mathbb{K}[S_\infty]$ becomes a graded connected bialgebra, so it is a Hopf algebra[21].

3.2 Planar binary trees and Hopf algebra

A planar binary tree t is an oriented graph drawn on a plane without loops, its internal vertices are all trivalent. Let $|t|$ denote the degree of a planar binary tree t, which is the number of trivalent vertices in t. For every planar binary tree, there is a particular edge with one 1-valent vertex called the root, other edges with 1-valent vertex called leaves. Obviously, the tree t has $|t|+1$ leaves. Following the notation in [19], we denote the set of planar binary trees with n vertices by Y_n. The disjoint union of Y_n for $n \geq 0$ is denoted by Y_∞. Similarly, $\mathbb{K}[Y_\infty] = \oplus_{n\geq 0}\mathbb{K}[Y_n]$.

A planar binary tree with levels is a planar binary tree t with each internal vertex assigned one of horizontal lines decorated from top to bottom by $\{1, \cdots, |t|\}$. Each vertex has a unique number. By the rules, the level of the vertex attached to the root is $|t|$. The set of all planar binary trees with n levels is denoted by $\tilde{Y}_n$.

$\tilde{Y}_n$ is one-to-one corresponding to S_n[19]. For a planar binary tree with levels, read its vertices from the left to right and from the top to bottom, then it gives a permutation[10]. The inverse is established in the same way. One can find the proof in ref.[19].

In order to define the Hopf algebra over $\mathbb{K}[Y_\infty]$, the grafting operation is needed too. For any two trees t_1 and t_2, the grafting $t_1 \vee t_2$ produces a new tree t with the left branch t_1 and the right one t_2. So $|t| = |t_1| + |t_2| + 1$. Denote the one-leaf tree without trivalent vertices by $|$. Any tree can be expressed by two branches with a grafting operation.

The bijection $\tilde{Y}_n \longrightarrow S_n$ and the forgetful map $\tilde{Y}_n \twoheadrightarrow Y_n$ compose a map $\psi_n : S_n \twoheadrightarrow Y_n$. So it is defined from $\mathbb{K}[S_n]$ to $\mathbb{K}[Y_n]$ as well. The linear dual maps $\psi_n^* : \mathbb{K}[Y_n] \longrightarrow \mathbb{K}[S_n]$ are inclusion maps for $n \geq 0$. Their disjoint union is a graded linear map $\psi^* : \mathbb{K}[Y_\infty] \longrightarrow \mathbb{K}[S_\infty]$. J.L.Loday and M.O.Ronco proved that the image of ψ^* is a Hopf subalgebra of $\mathbb{K}[S_\infty]$[19].

The product $*$ on $\mathbb{K}[Y_\infty]$ satisfies the formula[19]

$$T * T' = T_1 \vee (T_2 * T') + (T * T'_1) \vee T'_2, \tag{12}$$

where T and T' are arbitrary trees in $\mathbb{K}[Y_\infty]$ such that $T = T_1 \vee T_2$ and $T' = T'_1 \vee T'_2$. The starting equation of the recursive series is

$$| * T = T = T * |. \tag{13}$$

The coproduct Δ on $\mathbb{K}[Y_\infty]$ surely satisfies a series of recursive formulas[19]. For any a tree $T = T_1 \vee T_2 \in Y_{n+m+1}$ with $|T_1| = n$ and $|T_2| = m$,

$$\Delta(T) = \sum_{j,k} (T_{1,j} * T_{2,k}) \otimes (T'_{1,n-j} \vee T'_{2,m-k}) + T \otimes |, \tag{14}$$

where $\Delta(T_1) = \sum_j T_{1,j} \otimes T'_{2,n-j}$ and $\Delta(T_2) = \sum_k T_{2,k} \otimes T'_{2,m-k}$.

The two operations $*$ and Δ are got by restricting operations in the Hopf algebra on $\mathbb{K}[S_\infty]$ to $\mathbb{K}[Y_\infty]$. J.L.Loday and M.O.Ronco proved they are internal in $\mathbb{K}[Y_\infty]$, so the image of ψ^* is a Hopf subalgebra[19].

4 Equivalence between two kinds of graphs

We have introduced two kinds of graphs, one is the diagrammatic representation of topological recursion, and another is planar binary trees. Connecting two consecutive leaves in a planar binary tree produces a graph with one loop. These graphs satisfy the topological recursion formulas[10]. So They identify with the diagrammatic representation of topological recursion.

Consider the diagrammatic representation of genus 0 correlation functions in topological recursion. For a fixed number n of internal vertices, the cardinality of $\mathcal{G}^0_{n+2}$ is given by the Catalan number $c_n = \frac{2n!}{n!((n+1)n)!}$. It is equal to the dimension of $\mathbb{K}[Y_n]$. Intuitively, they have an one-to-one correspondence[10].

The $n+1$ points, genus 0 correlation function $W^0_{n+2}(p, p_1, \cdots, p_{n+1})$ satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} \phi^{-1}(W^0_{n+2}(p, p_1, \cdots, p_{n+1})) = & \sum_{\substack{t_i \in Y^n \\ \text{perm. } \{p_1, \cdots, p_{n+1}\}}} t_i = \sum_{\substack{p+q+1=n, \\ |t_{1i}|=p, |t_{2i}|=q}} t_{1i} \vee t_{2i} \\ & + \textbf{perm. } \{p_1, \cdots, p_{n+1}\}, \end{aligned} \tag{15}$$

where ϕ^{-1} is the inverse of B.Eynard and N.Orantin's weight map ϕ. The claim can be proved by induction on n. It is just to reorganize of the facts in [10].

In order to produce graphs with loops from planar binary trees, J.N.Esteves defined a contraction[10]. Given a planar binary tree $t \in Y_n$, label its leaves in turn by $p_1, \cdots, p_{n+1}$ from left to right. The operation $({}_i\leftrightarrow_{i+1})$ acting on t means attaching an edge to the consecutive leaves p_i and p_{i+1}, then relabeling the remaining leaves by $p_1, \cdots, p_{n-1}$. It should be noticed that the operation can only contract the two nearest neighbor leaves.

Denote by $(Y_n)^g$ the set of graphs with g loops, which is got by successively acting

$({}_i\leftrightarrow_{i+1})$ operations g times on trees in Y_n. Similar to genus 0 case, there have

$$\begin{aligned}\phi^{-1}(W_k^g(p,p_1,\cdots,p_{k-1})) &= \sum_{\substack{t^g\in(Y^n)^g\\ \text{perm. } \{p_1,\cdots,p_{k-1}\}}} t^g \\ =&[\sum_{i=0}^{g}\sum_{\substack{(t_2)^{g-i}\in(Y^q)^{g-i}\\ (t_1)^i\in(Y^p)^i,p+q+1=n}}(t_1)^i\vee(t_2)^{g-i}+\sum_{i=0}^{g-1}\sum_{\substack{(t_1)^{g-i-1}\in(Y^p)^{g-i-1}\\ (t_2)^i\in(Y^q)^i,p+q+1=n}}(t_1)^{g-1-i}\smile(t_2)^i] \\ &+\textbf{perm. } \{p_1,\cdots,p_{k-1}\},\end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

where $t=t_1\vee t_2$ and $t_1\smile t_2$ means the identification between the rightmost leaf in t_1 and the leftmost leaf in t_2. Hence, $(Y_n)^g=\mathscr{G}_n^g$.

In order to prove equation (16), we start to set $g=1$ and make the induction on n. Then make induction on genus g case. The procedure is just to rearrange of the facts in [10]. Equations (15) and (16) suggest the planar trees with their contractions identify with the diagrammatic representation of topological recursion.

Based on the equivalence, the Hopf algebra on planar binary trees gives a Hopf algebraic structure on the diagrammatic representations of correlation functions with genus 0. But this structure cannot be generalized to higher genus cases. In the next section, we would give a new Hopf algebra on planar binary trees, which can generalize the algebraic structure to graphs with loops naturally.

5 The Hopf algebra of topological recursion

The Loday-Ronco Hopf algebra on planar binary trees cannot extend to graphs with loops producing by contractions. A main reason is that the operations of the Loday-Ronco Hopf algebra keep the number of vertices, meanwhile they change the number of leaves, and the product and coproduct are not well defined on the graphs with no leaves.

In this section, we give a new form of Hopf algebra on planar binary trees. It can be well defined on graphs with loops. Hence, the new Hopf algebra in fact gives an Hopf algebraic structure on topological recursion. Viewing the correlation functions as the primary objects, we find the structure of the new coproduct is the same to the topological recursion (2).

In the following, we will give a bracket representation of planar binary trees in subsection 5.1. In the bracket representation, a new Hopf algebra is defined in the next subsection. Finally, we would generalize it to graphs with loops, and we give the Hopf algebra of correlation functions. It is interesting that the coproduct is just the topological recursion formula.



Figure 2: planar binary tree $\langle\langle 12\rangle\langle 3\langle 45\rangle\rangle\rangle$

5.1 Bracket representations of planar binary trees

Let t be a planar binary tree in Y_n. Its leaves are labeled by $1, \cdots, n+1$ from left to right. We can denote t by a string of numbers with apex angles.

We write $1 \cdots n+1$ in a row; then add an apex angle on two adjacent numbers if their corresponding leaves are in the same branch; viewing an apex angle as a leaf, continue to add apex angles till all the numbers in one apex angle. For example in Figure 2 the tree is $\langle\langle 12\rangle\langle 3\langle 45\rangle\rangle\rangle$.

Obviously, each planar binary tree has a unique numerical expression with apex angles. We call these numerical expressions bracket representations of planar binary trees. Actually, it can be seem as a dual representation of the permutation group representation. From the permutation of a tree t, we can get the numerical expression with apex angles directly. Let $t = (i_1 \cdots i_k)$. Its numerical expression with apex angles can be achieved in the following procedure. We number a row of numbers $1 \cdots k+1$, corresponding to their leaf labels. So every interval between two numbers in $1 \cdots k+1$ corresponds a certain number in $(i_1 \cdots i_k)$ from left to right. Then add k apex angles in the order of $(i_1 \cdots i_k)$, i.e. the levels of vertices. An apex angle which has added is viewed as a new leaf while adding the next pair apex angles.

For example, assume $t = (231)$. It has four leaves denoted by 1 2 3 4 from left to right. The number 1 in (231) corresponds to the interval between 3 and 4, then we add the first apex angle on 34, i.e. $12\langle 34\rangle$. The number 2 in (231) corresponds to the interval between 1 and 2, hence we add the second apex angle to be $\langle 12\rangle\langle 34\rangle$. At last, the number 3 is matched with the interval between 2 and 3, i.e. $\langle 12\rangle$ and $\langle 34\rangle$. We add the third apex angle to become $\langle\langle 12\rangle\langle 34\rangle\rangle$.

Permutations are one-to-one corresponded to planar binary trees with levels. Numerical expressions with apex angles and planar binary trees are bijective. Hence, one permutation has a unique numerical expression with apex angles. But one numerical expression has many permutations generally. For example, permutations (231) and (132)

have the same numerical expression $\langle\langle 12\rangle\langle 34\rangle\rangle$.

Let $X_n(n \geq 2)$ be the set of numerical expressions with apex angles satisfying the following conditions: in any pair of apex angles there are two elements, one number or a pair of apex angle in a whole is viewed as an element; and the whole expression is in a pair of apex angles. Particularly, $X_0 = \{\emptyset\}$; $X_1 = \{\langle 1\rangle\}$; $X_2 = \{\langle 12\rangle\}$. $\langle 1\rangle$ denotes a planar binary tree without trivalent vertices |. $\langle 12\rangle$ denotes a planar binary tree with two leaves, one trivalent vertex. Using the relationships between planar binary trees and topological recursion, we have $X_{n+1} = Y_n = \mathscr{G}_n$.

Denote the vector space generated by X_n over $\mathbb{K}$ by $\mathbb{K}[X_n]$. The disjoint union of the sets X_n for $n \geq 0$ is denoted by X_∞. So,

$$\mathbb{K}[X_\infty] = \oplus_{n\geq 0}\mathbb{K}[X_n]. \tag{17}$$

It should be noticed that $\mathbb{K}[X_\infty]$ is not equal to $\mathbb{K}[Y_\infty]$, though they are all generated by planar binary trees. In $\mathbb{K}[X_\infty]$, $\emptyset$ is included as a generator. But $\mathbb{K}[Y_\infty]$ do not include $\emptyset$. That means

$$\mathbb{K}[X_\infty] = \mathbb{K}[Y_\infty] \oplus \mathbb{K}[\emptyset]. \tag{18}$$

In the next subsection, we would prove there is a different Hopf algebraic structure on $\mathbb{K}[X_\infty]$.

5.2 Hopf algebraic structure for diagrams without loops

Operations (9) and (10), i.e. (12) and (14), keep the number of trivalent vertices in planar binary trees. But the trees in the right sides of equations (9) or (12) are one leaf less than the ones in the left. The sum on leaves of trees in the right of equations (10) or (14) are one more than that in the left.

In this subsection, we would define a new Hopf algebra. The operations keep the number of leaves. But the product increases one trivalent vertex and the coproduct reduces one trivalent vertex. More importantly, these definitions can be generalized to graphs with loops naturally.

Definition 5.1. *Let $\rho = \langle\rho_1\rho_2\rangle \in \mathbb{K}[X_n]$ and $\tau = \langle\tau_1\tau_2\rangle \in \mathbb{K}[X_m]$. Their product can be defined as*

$$\rho \star \tau = \langle\rho\tau\rangle + \langle\langle\rho \star \tau_1\rangle\tau_2\rangle + \langle\rho_1\langle\rho_2 \star \tau\rangle\rangle, \tag{19}$$

where $\rho_1, \rho_2, \tau_1, \tau_2 \neq \emptyset$. On the right, we add n to the numbers in τ for self-consistent. For any $\sigma \in \mathbb{K}[X_\infty]$, there are

$$\sigma \star \langle 1\rangle = \langle\sigma 1\rangle + \langle\sigma_1\langle\sigma_2 1\rangle\rangle,$$

$$\langle 1\rangle \star \sigma = \langle 1\sigma\rangle + \langle\langle 1\sigma_1\rangle\sigma_2\rangle,$$

and

$$\emptyset \star \sigma = \sigma = \sigma \star \emptyset.$$

Some examples are given below.

$$\emptyset \star \langle 1 \rangle = \langle 1 \rangle = \langle 1 \rangle \star \emptyset;$$
$$\langle 1 \rangle \star \langle 12 \rangle = \langle 1\langle 23 \rangle \rangle + \langle \langle 12 \rangle 3 \rangle;$$

In diagrams,



$$\langle 12 \rangle \star \langle 1 \rangle = \langle 1\langle 23 \rangle \rangle + \langle \langle 12 \rangle 3 \rangle;$$



$$\langle 12 \rangle \star \langle 12 \rangle = \langle \langle 12 \rangle \langle 34 \rangle \rangle + \langle \langle \langle 12 \rangle 3 \rangle 4 \rangle + \langle \langle 1\langle 23 \rangle \rangle 4 \rangle + \langle 1\langle 2\langle 34 \rangle \rangle \rangle + \langle 1\langle \langle 23 \rangle 4 \rangle;$$



$$\langle\langle 12\rangle 3\rangle \star \langle 1\rangle = \langle\langle\langle 12\rangle 3\rangle 4\rangle + \langle\langle 12\rangle\langle 34\rangle\rangle;$$



$$\langle 1\rangle \star \langle\langle 12\rangle 3\rangle = \langle\langle\langle 12\rangle 3\rangle 4\rangle + \langle\langle 1\langle 23\rangle\rangle 4\rangle + \langle 1\langle\langle 23\rangle 4\rangle\rangle;$$



The last two expressions imply that the product $\star$ is not commutative. It is easy to verify that the sums of leaves in two sides of the equations are identical, but the number of vertices has changed.

Even though they satisfy similar formulas, the product $\star$ is different from $*$. On $\mathbb{K}[Y_\infty]$, they satisfy a certain relationship in the following proposition.

Proposition 5.1. *For any planar binary trees $\rho, \sigma \in \mathbb{K}[Y_\infty]$, they have*

$$\rho \star \sigma = \rho * (1) * \sigma, \tag{20}$$

where (1) *is the planar binary tree with one trivalent vertex in permutation representation.*

Proof. The proof is done inductively on the number of vertices $k = |\rho| + |\sigma|$. If $k = 0$, we have $\rho = |$ and $\sigma = |$. So the right part of equation (20) is equal to the left one, either side is a planar tree with one trivalent vertex.

If $k = 1$, there is either $\rho = |, \sigma = (1)$ or $\rho = (1), \sigma = |$. It is straightforward to check that, in either case, any side of equation (20) is identical to $\langle\langle 12\rangle 3\rangle + \langle 1\langle 23\rangle\rangle$.

Suppose the claim is verified whenever $k < n$. Let $\rho = \rho_1 \vee \rho_2, \sigma = \sigma_1 \vee \sigma_2$ be any planar binary trees. If $k = n$, the right side of the equation (20) is

$$\begin{aligned}\rho * (1) * \sigma =&((\rho * |) \vee |) * \sigma + (\rho_1 \vee (\rho_2 * (1))) * \sigma \\ =&((\rho \vee |) * \sigma_1) \vee \sigma_2 + \rho \vee \sigma + \rho_1 \vee (\rho_2 * (1) * \sigma) \\ &+ ((\rho_1 \vee (\rho_2 * (1))) * \sigma_1) \vee \sigma_2 \\ =&(\rho * (1) * \sigma_1) \vee \sigma_2 + \rho \vee \sigma + \rho_1 \vee (\rho_1 * (1) * \sigma) \\ =&\langle\langle \rho \star \sigma_1\rangle \sigma_2\rangle + \langle \rho\sigma\rangle + \langle \rho_1\langle \rho_2 \star \sigma\rangle\rangle \\ =&\rho \star \sigma.\end{aligned}$$

The starting two equalities follows from (12). The fourth equality is by the induction. So, we proved equation (20). □

In the above proposition, $\rho = \emptyset$ or $\sigma = \emptyset$ is not allowed, because there are no definition for $*$.

Now let ρ, σ, τ be any planar binary trees in $\mathbb{K}[Y_\infty]$. Due to Proposition (5.1), one has

$$\begin{aligned}\rho \star (\tau \star \sigma) =&\rho * (1) * (\tau * (1) * \sigma) \\ =&(\rho * (1) * \tau) * (1) * \sigma \\ =&(\rho \star \tau) \star \sigma\end{aligned}$$

If any one of ρ, σ or τ is set to be $\emptyset$, obviously $\rho \star (\tau \star \sigma) = (\rho \star \tau) \star \sigma$. In other words, the product $\star$ is associative.

We define the unit map u such that $u(1_{\mathbb{K}}) = \emptyset$ and $u(\lambda) = \lambda 1_{\mathbb{K}}$(for any $\lambda \in \mathbb{K}$). By definition, it is easy to check that

$$\star \circ (u \otimes \mathrm{id}) = \mathrm{id} = \star \circ (\mathrm{id} \otimes u) \tag{21}$$

on $\mathbb{K}[X_\infty]$. So $(\mathbb{K}[X_\infty], \star, u)$ is a unital associative algebra.

In order to define a Hopf algebra, we need to introduce the coproduct operation on $\mathbb{K}[X_\infty]$.

Definition 5.2. *Let $\rho = \langle \rho_1 \rho_2\rangle \in \mathbb{K}[X_{n+m}]$ be a planar binary tree, where $\rho_1 \in X_n$ and $\rho_2 \in X_m$. Its coproduct satisfies*

$$\delta(\rho) = \sum_{j,k}{}' ((\rho_{1(j)} \star \rho_{2(k)}) \otimes \langle \rho'_{1(n-j)} \rho'_{2(m-k)}\rangle + \rho \otimes \emptyset, \tag{22}$$

where $\delta(\rho_1) = \sum_j \rho_{1(j)} \otimes \rho'_{1(n-j)}$, $\delta(\rho_2) = \sum_k \rho_{2(k)} \otimes \rho'_{2(m-k)}$. The $'$ on the sum indicates that the term $j = n, k = m$, i.e. $\rho'_{1(n-j)} = \rho'_{2(m-k)} = \emptyset$, is not included. Particularly, $\delta(\emptyset) = \emptyset \otimes \emptyset$, $\delta(\langle 1\rangle) = \emptyset \otimes \langle 1\rangle + \langle 1\rangle \otimes \emptyset$.

Remembering that we do not distinguish the labels of the leaves on the right side of equation (22). In another word, we just choose the terms with different structures. For example, numerical expressions $\langle\langle 12\rangle 3\rangle$ and $\langle\langle 13\rangle 2\rangle$ have the same structure. We do not distinguish them in equation (22).

The definitions of δ and Δ are similar to each other, but they are different in operations. Completely different results would be reached after acting on the same planar binary trees. Simple examples are given below to illustrate equation(22).

$$\delta\langle 12\rangle = \emptyset \otimes \langle 12\rangle + \langle 1\rangle \otimes \langle 1\rangle + \langle 12\rangle \otimes \emptyset;$$



$$\delta(\langle\langle 12\rangle 3\rangle) = \emptyset \otimes \langle\langle 12\rangle 3\rangle + \langle 1\rangle \otimes \langle 12\rangle + \langle 12\rangle \otimes \langle 1\rangle + \langle\langle 12\rangle 3\rangle \otimes \emptyset;$$
$$\delta(\langle 1\langle 23\rangle\rangle) = \emptyset \otimes \langle 1\langle 23\rangle\rangle + \langle 1\rangle \otimes \langle 12\rangle + \langle 12\rangle \otimes \langle 1\rangle + \langle 1\langle 23\rangle\rangle \otimes \emptyset.$$



It is clear that the numbers of leaves in the left and right sides are identical for every equation. But the numbers of vertices are changed.

The two parts in the set $\delta\rho$ are denoted as $(\delta\rho)_1$ and $(\delta\rho)_2$, respectively. By the definition of the coproduct δ, one has

$$\begin{aligned}(\delta\rho)_1 &= \{\rho_{1(j)} \star \rho_{2(k)} | j = 0, 1, \cdots, n; k = 0, 1, \cdots, m; \text{and when j=n,k=m, the element is } \rho\} \\ &\equiv \langle (\delta\rho_1)_1 \circledast (\delta\rho_2)_1 \rangle\end{aligned} \tag{23}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}(\delta\rho)_2 &= \{\langle \rho'_{1(n-j)} \rho'_{2(m-k)} \rangle | j = 0, 1, \cdots, n; k = 0, 1, \cdots, m; \} \\ &\equiv \langle (\delta\rho_1)_2 \textcircled{v} (\delta\rho_2)_2 \rangle\end{aligned} \tag{24}$$

for any a planar binary tree $\rho = \langle \rho_1 \rho_2 \rangle \in \mathbb{K}[X_{n+m}] (\rho_1 \in X_n, \rho_2 \in X_m)$, where $\delta(\rho_1) = \sum_j \rho_{1(j)} \otimes \rho'_{1(n-j)}$, $\delta(\rho_2) = \sum_k \rho_{2(k)} \otimes \rho'_{2(m-k)}$.

By iterating equation (23) and (24) and keeping the $\langle\rangle$ relationships in ρ, we have

$$\begin{aligned}(\delta\rho)_1 &= \underbrace{\langle (\delta\langle 1\rangle)_1 \circledast \cdots \circledast (\delta\langle 1\rangle)_1 \rangle}_{\text{n+m}}; \\ (\delta\rho)_2 &= \underbrace{\langle (\delta\langle 1\rangle)_2 \textcircled{v} \cdots \textcircled{v} (\delta\langle 1\rangle)_2 \rangle}_{\text{n+m}};\end{aligned} \tag{25}$$

Hence, for any $\rho \in \mathbb{K}[X_\infty]$, there is

$$(\mathrm{id} \otimes \delta) \circ \delta(\rho) = (\delta \otimes \mathrm{id}) \circ \delta(\rho). \tag{26}$$

Denote $(\mathrm{id} \otimes \delta) \circ \delta(\rho)$ and $(\delta \otimes \mathrm{id}) \circ \delta(\rho)$ by T and S, respectively. Then, T, S are the direct products $\otimes$ of three parts. Let $T_i, S_i (i = 1, 2, 3)$ denote $i-$th part of the set, so we need to prove that $T_i = S_i (i = 1, 2, 3)$. If we choose one element in T_3, eliminating the branches corresponding to T_1, T_2, S_1, S_2 in T and S, left ones constitute T_3 and S_3 keeping the $\langle\rangle$ relationships. Hence, the sets $T_3 = S_3$. For any an element $\sigma_3 \in T_3$, now we prove that the terms appear on two sides of equation (26), which consist in σ_3 as the third part. This is obvious with the help of the following equation:

$$\delta(\delta\rho_n)_1 = (\delta\rho_i)_1 \otimes (\delta\rho'_{n-i})_1, \tag{27}$$

By the definition of coproduct δ, we know different terms have distinguished structures. Since the leaves are unlabeled, the right part of equation (27) equals the left one.

We can introduce a counit map ε in $\mathbb{K}[X_\infty]$. For any a $\sigma \in \mathbb{K}[X_\infty]$, let

$$\varepsilon(\sigma) = \begin{cases} 1_{\mathbb{K}} & \text{if } \sigma = \emptyset \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \tag{28}$$

By equation (28), we can easily get $(\varepsilon \otimes \mathrm{id}) \circ \delta = \mathrm{id} = (\mathrm{id} \otimes \varepsilon) \circ \delta$. Therefore, $(\mathbb{K}[X_\infty], \delta, \varepsilon)$ is a counital coalgebra.

Now $\mathbb{K}[X_\infty]$ is an algebra as well as a coalgebra. In order to reach our goal, the algebraic operations and the coalgebraic operations should form a bialgebra.

Proposition 5.2. $(\mathbb{K}[X_\infty], \star, u, \delta, \varepsilon)$ *is a bialgebra.*

Proof. We just need to prove $\star$ is a morphism of coalgebra:

$$\delta \circ \star = (\star \otimes \star) \circ \tau_{2,3} \circ (\delta \otimes \delta) \tag{29}$$

and

$$\varepsilon \otimes \varepsilon = \star \circ (\varepsilon \otimes \varepsilon) = \varepsilon \circ \star. \tag{30}$$

By the definition of ε, equation (30) is obvious. Now focus on equation (29). For any trees $\rho, \sigma \in X_\infty$, we make a induction on the sum k of leaves in ρ and σ. When $k = 0$, $\rho = \sigma = \emptyset$. Equation (29) is true. When $k = 1$, let $\rho = \emptyset, \sigma = (1)$. Then either part of equation (29) is $(1) \otimes \emptyset + \emptyset \otimes (1)$.

Assume equation (29) holds for $k < n$, then we prove that it holds as well as for $k = n$. The right side of equation (29) is equal to:

$$\star \otimes \star \circ \tau_{23} \circ \delta \otimes \delta[\rho \otimes \sigma] = \sum_{\rho} \sum_{\sigma} [(\delta\rho)_1 \star (\delta\sigma)_1 \otimes (\delta\rho)_2 \star (\delta\sigma)_2] \tag{31}$$

The left side is

$$\delta(\rho \star \sigma) = \delta\langle\rho\sigma\rangle + \delta\langle\rho_1(\rho_2 \star \sigma)\rangle + \delta\langle(\rho \star \sigma_1)\sigma_2\rangle, \tag{32}$$

where $\rho = \langle\rho_1\rho_2\rangle, \sigma = \langle\sigma_1\sigma_2\rangle$. Now we prove the left sides of equations (31) and (32) are the same. By the definition of δ, the right three terms of equation (32) can be simplified as the following, respectively. The first term is

$$\delta\langle\rho\sigma\rangle = \sum_{\rho,\sigma}{}' (\delta\rho)_1 \star (\delta\sigma)_1 \otimes \langle(\delta\rho)_2(\delta\sigma)_2\rangle + \langle\rho\sigma\rangle \otimes \emptyset,$$

and the $'$ indicates that the sum does not include the cases $(\delta\rho)_2 = (\delta\sigma)_2 = \emptyset$. The second term is

$$\begin{aligned}
&\delta\langle\rho_1(\rho_2 \star \sigma)\rangle \\
=& \sum_{\rho_1, \rho_2 \star \sigma}{}' (\delta\rho_1)_1 \star (\delta(\rho_2 \star \sigma))_1 \otimes ((\delta\rho_1)_2(\delta(\rho_2 \star \sigma))_2) + \langle\rho_1(\rho_2 \star \sigma)\rangle \otimes \emptyset \\
=& \sum_{\rho_1, \rho_2 \star \sigma}{}' (\delta\rho_1)_1 \star [(\delta\rho_2)_1 \star (\delta\sigma)_1] \otimes \langle(\delta\rho_1)_2(\delta(\rho_2 \star \sigma))_2\rangle + \langle\rho_1(\rho_2 \star \sigma)\rangle \otimes \emptyset \\
=& \sum_{\rho', \sigma'}{}' (\delta\rho)_1 \star (\delta\sigma)_1 \otimes \langle(\delta\rho_1)_2(\delta(\rho_2 \star \sigma))_2\rangle + \langle\rho_1(\rho_2 \star \sigma)\rangle \otimes \emptyset.
\end{aligned}$$

While the second equality is following the induction hypothesis, and the third equality is due to the product associativity. The summation in the last line is over part of terms in $\delta\rho, \delta\sigma$. The third term is simplified as well.

$$\begin{aligned}
&\delta\langle(\rho\star\sigma_1)\sigma_2\rangle\\
=&\sum_{\rho\star\sigma_1,\sigma_2}{}' (\delta(\rho\star\sigma_1))_1\star(\delta\sigma_2)_1\otimes\langle(\delta(\rho\star\sigma_1))_2(\delta\sigma_2)_2\rangle+\langle(\rho\star\sigma_1)\sigma_2\rangle\otimes\emptyset\\
=&\sum_{\rho\star\sigma_1,\sigma_2}{}' (\delta\rho)_1\star(\delta\sigma_1)_1\star(\delta\sigma_2)_1\otimes\langle(\delta(\rho\star\sigma_1))_2(\delta\sigma_2)_2\rangle+\langle(\rho\star\sigma_1)\sigma_2\rangle\otimes\emptyset\\
=&\sum_{\rho',\sigma'}{}'(\delta\rho)_1\star(\delta\sigma)_1\otimes\langle(\delta(\rho\star\sigma_1))_2(\delta\sigma_2)_2\rangle+\langle(\rho\star\sigma_1)\sigma_2\rangle\otimes\emptyset
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\delta(\rho\star\sigma)\\
=&\sum_{\rho,\sigma}{}'(\delta\rho)_1\star(\delta\sigma)_1\otimes[\langle(\delta\rho)_2(\delta\sigma)_2\rangle+\langle(\delta\rho_1)_2(\delta(\rho_2\star\sigma))_2\rangle+\langle(\delta(\rho\star\sigma_1))_2(\delta\sigma_2)_2\rangle]\\
&+\langle\rho\sigma\rangle\otimes\emptyset+\langle\rho_1(\rho_2\star\sigma)\rangle\otimes\emptyset+\langle(\rho\star\sigma_1)\sigma_2\rangle\otimes\emptyset\\
=&\sum_{\rho,\sigma}(\delta\rho)_1\star(\delta\sigma)_1\otimes(\delta\rho)_2\star(\delta\sigma)_2.
\end{aligned}$$

The terms in the third line are exactly the terms which is got by setting the expressions for empty sets in the square brackets in the second line. So equation (29) is proved. □

In fact, $\mathbb{K}[X_\infty]$ is a graded bialgebra. By the definition, we know $\mathbb{K}[X_\infty]=\sum_{n\in N_0}L^n$, where $L^0=\{\emptyset\}, L^1=\{\langle 1\rangle\}, L^2=X_1,\cdots,L^n=X_{n-1}\cdots$. Since L^0 is one dimensional vector space generated by $\emptyset$, $\mathbb{K}[X_\infty]$ is a connected bialgebra. In another word, $(\mathbb{K}[X_\infty],\star,u,\delta,\varepsilon)$ is a Hopf algebra.

In a graded connected Hopf algebra, there is a canonical antipode S given by the following expression:

$$\star\circ(S\otimes Id)\circ\delta=u\circ\varepsilon.$$

For any $\rho\in\mathbb{K}[X_\infty]$, we have

$$S(\rho)=-\rho-\sum S(\rho_1)\star\rho_2, \tag{33}$$

where in the Sweedler notation:

$$\delta\rho=\sum\rho_1\otimes\rho_2.$$

It is easy to see that

$$S(\emptyset) = \emptyset;$$
$$S(\langle 1\rangle) = -\langle 1\rangle;$$
$$S(\langle 1\rangle \star \langle 1\rangle \star \cdots \star \langle 1\rangle) = (-1)^n \langle 1\rangle \star \langle 1\rangle \star \cdots \star \langle 1\rangle.$$

5.3 Planar binary trees with loops and Hopf algebra

Planar binary trees acted by contraction operations produce planar binary trees with loops. The Hopf algebra on planar binary trees can be generalized on the trees with loops. In this section, we give the Hopf algebra on planar binary trees with loops.

Let $\bar{X}_n$ denote the set of graphs produced from X_n by doing all possible contractions $({}_i\leftrightarrow_{i+1})$ on neighbourhood leaves. It is obvious that $\bar{X}_0 = X_0$, $\bar{X}_1 = X_1$, $X_n \subset \bar{X}_n (n > 1)$. Denote the set of trees contracted all possible $g (g \leq \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor)$ pairs neighbour nearest leaves of trees in $\bar{X}_n$ by $\bar{X}^g_{n-2g}$. From the section 4, one has $\bar{X}^g_{n-2g} = Y^g_{n-2g-1} = \mathscr{G}^g_{n-2g-1}$. Along the symbols before, $\mathbb{K}[\bar{X}_n]$ denotes the vector space generated by $\bar{X}_n$ over $\mathbb{K}$. The disjoint union of $\bar{X}_n (n \geq 0)$ is $\bar{X}_\infty = \cup_{n\in N_0} \bar{X}_n$. And its vector space over $\mathbb{K}$ is $\mathbb{K}[\bar{X}_\infty]$. By the definition, $\mathbb{K}[X_\infty] \subset \mathbb{K}[\bar{X}_\infty]$. Now we extend the structure $(\mathbb{K}[X_\infty], \star, u, \delta, \varepsilon)$ to $\mathbb{K}[\bar{X}_\infty]$.

Now we define the product and the unit map on $\mathbb{K}[\bar{X}_\infty]$. The operation $\star$ on $\mathbb{K}[X_\infty]$ do not change the adjacent relationships of leaves in planar binary trees. In other words, the contraction operation keeps the adjacent positions invariant. So the contraction is commutative with the product $\star$. We can define the product and the unit map on $\mathbb{K}[\bar{X}_\infty]$ as following.

$$\star|_{\mathbb{K}[\bar{X}_\infty]} = \sum_i ({}_i\leftrightarrow_{i+1}) \circ \star|_{\mathbb{K}[X_\infty]};$$
$$u|_{\mathbb{K}[\bar{X}_\infty]} = \sum_i ({}_i\leftrightarrow_{i+1}) \circ u|_{\mathbb{K}[X_\infty]}. \tag{34}$$

Obviously, $(\mathbb{K}[\bar{X}_\infty], \star, u)$ is still a unital algebra.

Following the definition of product on $\mathbb{K}[\bar{X}_\infty]$, we can define the coproduct and the counit map by their commutation with the contraction operation.

$$\delta|_{\mathbb{K}[\bar{X}_\infty]} = \sum_i ({}_i\leftrightarrow_{i+1}) \circ \delta|_{\mathbb{K}[X_\infty]};$$
$$\varepsilon|_{\mathbb{K}[\bar{X}_\infty]} = \sum_i ({}_i\leftrightarrow_{i+1}) \circ \varepsilon|_{\mathbb{K}[X_\infty]}. \tag{35}$$

So we have $\delta(\emptyset) = \emptyset \otimes \emptyset$, $\delta\langle 1\rangle = \emptyset \otimes \langle 1\rangle + \langle 1\rangle \otimes \emptyset$.

Given a particular planar binary tree with loops, we can compute its coproduct following the simple procedure. Now we compute $\delta\langle\overline{12}\rangle$ for example. In the beginning, we do the coproduct on the tree $\langle 12\rangle$,

$$\delta\langle 12\rangle = \emptyset \otimes \langle 12\rangle + \langle 1\rangle \otimes \langle 2\rangle + \langle 12\rangle \otimes \emptyset.$$

Then, we make the same contraction as in the primary tree, i.e. leaves $1, 2$ were connected by a line. So we have

$$\delta[\langle\overline{12}\rangle] = \delta[\langle\overline{11}\rangle] = \emptyset \otimes \langle\overline{11}\rangle + \overline{\langle 1\rangle \otimes \langle 1\rangle} + \langle\overline{11}\rangle \otimes \emptyset,$$

where two leaves with the same label under a bar means they are connected by a line to be a loop. So in fact, $\overline{\langle 1\rangle \otimes \langle 1\rangle} = \langle 1\rangle$.



Let us compute $\delta\langle 1\langle\overline{23}\rangle\rangle$, a more complicated example. We compute the coproduct of the tree with no contractions.

$$\delta\langle 1\langle 23\rangle\rangle = \emptyset \otimes \langle 1\langle 23\rangle\rangle + \langle 1\rangle \otimes \langle 23\rangle + \langle 12\rangle \otimes \langle 3\rangle + \langle 1\langle 23\rangle\rangle \otimes \emptyset.$$

and,

$$\delta\langle 1\langle\overline{23}\rangle\rangle = \emptyset \otimes \langle 1\langle\overline{22}\rangle\rangle + \langle 1\rangle \otimes \langle\overline{22}\rangle + \langle\overline{1\langle 2\rangle \otimes \langle 2\rangle}\rangle + \langle 1\langle\overline{22}\rangle\rangle \otimes \emptyset,$$





It is easy to verify that $(\mathbb{K}[\bar{X}_\infty], \delta, \varepsilon)$ is a counital algebra. Now we prove that it is a Hopf algebra.

Proposition 5.3. *$(\mathbb{K}[\bar{X}_\infty], \star, u, \delta, \varepsilon)$ is a Hopf algebra.*

Proof. We prove it is a bialgebra. Since $\mathbb{K}[\bar{X}_\infty]$ is graded and connected, it is a Hopf algebra. Hence, it is sufficient to prove equation (29) on $\mathbb{K}[\bar{X}_\infty]$.

Since the leaves are unlabelled, the operation $({}_i\leftrightarrow_{i+1})$ is commutative with the permutations τ_{23}. For any trees $\bar{\rho}, \bar{\sigma} \in \mathbb{K}[\bar{X}_\infty]$ and the corresponding primary trees $\rho = (\rho_1\rho_2), \sigma = (\sigma_1\sigma_2) \in \mathbb{K}[X_\infty]$.

$$\begin{aligned}\delta\langle\bar{\rho}\star\bar{\sigma}\rangle =& \delta\circ(\sum_{\rho,\delta}({}_i\leftrightarrow_{i+1}))\circ(\rho\star\delta)\\ =& \sum_{\rho,\delta}({}_i\leftrightarrow_{i+1})\circ\delta(\rho\star\delta)\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned}\star\otimes\star\circ\tau_{23}\circ\delta\otimes\delta(\bar{\rho}\otimes\bar{\sigma}) =& \star\otimes\star\circ\tau_{23}\circ\delta\otimes\delta(\sum_{\rho,\delta}({}_i\leftrightarrow_{i+1}))\circ(\rho\star\delta)\\ =& \sum_{\rho,\delta}({}_i\leftrightarrow_{i+1})\circ\star\otimes\star\circ\tau_{23}\circ\delta\otimes\delta(\rho\otimes\sigma)\end{aligned}$$

Using the equality (29), one gets

$$\delta\langle\bar{\rho}\star\bar{\sigma}\rangle = \star\otimes\star\circ\tau_{23}\circ\delta\otimes\delta(\bar{\rho}\otimes\bar{\sigma}).$$

□

With the help of Hopf algebra in $\mathbb{K}[\bar{X}_\infty]$, we achieve a more concise result in contrast to that in [10].

Theorem 5.1. *The diagrammatic representation of the correlation function $W_k^g(p, p_1, \cdots, p_{k-1})$ is given by summing over all permutations $k-1$ labelled leaves for trees in $(X_{k-1})^g$,*

$$\phi(W_k^g(p, p_1, \cdots, p_{k-1})) = \sum_{\substack{t^g\in(X_{k-1})^g\\ perm.\ \{p_1,\cdots,p_{k-1}\}}} t^g. \tag{36}$$

And $(X_{k-1})^g$ *is the set of trees got by the product* $\star$ *of* $2g+k-1$ $\langle 1\rangle$ *with all possible* g *pairs neighbour nearest leaves identifications,*

$$X_{k-1}^g = \{\underbrace{\langle 1\rangle \star \langle 1\rangle \star \cdots \star \langle 1\rangle}_{\text{2g+k-1}} | \textit{all possible contractions of } g \textit{ pair neighbour nearest leaves}\}. \tag{37}$$

Proof. It is obvious that X_{k-1}^g identify $(Y^{2g+k-2})^g$ in [10]. By the result in [10], we know that equation (36) is true. For any $\rho \in \mathbb{K}[\bar{X}_\infty]$, we have

$$\rho \star \langle 1\rangle = \rho * \langle 12\rangle.$$

Hence, equation (37) is followed the Theorem 2 in [10]. It should be noticed that the number of $\langle 1\rangle$ in equation (37) is one more than the number of (1) in Theorem 2 in [10]. □

The Hopf algebra on $\mathbb{K}[\bar{X}_\infty]$ is in fact the Hopf algebra in diagrammatic representations of correlation functions, one gives the Hopf algebra in topological recursion with the using of the weight map. Let us introduce $S_k \equiv \sum_{t\in X_k} t$ and $S_k^g \equiv \sum_{t\in X_k^g} t$. Permutate the labels of the leaves in S_k or S_k^g, then act the weight map ϕ, one gets $W_k(p_1,\cdots,p_k)$ or $W_k^g(p_1,\cdots,p_k)$.

We define the product by $\star$ on $\mathbb{K}[\bar{X}_\infty]$. For any $m,n,g_1,g_2 \in \mathbb{Z}$,

$$S_m^{g_1} \star S_n^{g_2} = \sum_{\rho\in S_m^{g_1},\sigma\in S_n^{g_2}} \rho\star\sigma. \tag{38}$$

The coproduct is defined as

$$\begin{aligned}\delta S_k &= \sum_{t\in X_k}{}' \delta(t),\\ \delta S_k^g &= \sum_{t\in X_k^g}{}' \delta t,\end{aligned} \tag{39}$$

where the $'$ indicates that the same structure expression appears just once in the summation. By the proof of Proposition 5.3, it is easy to know they form a Hopf algebra.

Actually, the structure of coproduct identifies the topological recursion. Let us introduce $\delta'(S_k^g) = \delta(S_k^g) - \sum_{t\in X_k^g}(\emptyset\otimes t + t\otimes\emptyset)$. One has the following claim.

Proposition 5.4. *The coproduct of* $k+1$*-point correlation function to order zero is*

$$\delta'(W_{k+1}(p,p_1,\cdots,p_k)) = \operatorname*{Res}_{q\to\mathbf{a}} K_2(p;q,\bar{q}) \sum_{J\subset K} W_{1+|J|}(q,\mathbf{p_J}) W_{k+1-|J|}(\bar{q},\mathbf{p_{K/J}}). \tag{40}$$

Proof. We drive the following equation

$$\delta'(S_k) = \sum_{i=1}^{k} X_i \otimes X_{n-i}, \tag{41}$$

holds for any a $k > 1$. When $k = 2$, direct computation gives $\delta' S_2 = \langle 1\rangle \otimes \langle 1\rangle = X_1 \otimes X_1$. Let the claim be true for any k less than n. Now consider S_n.

$$\begin{aligned}
\delta'(\sum_{t\in X_n} t) =& \delta'(\sum_{t'\in X_{n-1}} t' \star \langle 1\rangle) \\
=& \sum_{t'\in X_{n-1}} (\delta[t' \star \langle 1\rangle] - \emptyset \otimes t' \star \langle 1\rangle - t' \star \langle 1\rangle \otimes \emptyset) \\
=& \sum_{t'\in X_{n-1}} ((\delta t')_1 \star \langle 1\rangle \otimes (\delta t')_2 \star \emptyset + (\delta t')_1 \star \emptyset \otimes (\delta t')_2 \star \langle 1\rangle \\
& - \emptyset \otimes t' \star \langle 1\rangle - t' \star \langle 1\rangle \otimes \emptyset) \\
=& \sum_{t'\in X_{n-1}} ((\delta t')_1 \star \langle 1\rangle \otimes (\delta t')_2|_{(\delta t')_2\neq\emptyset} + (\delta t')_1|_{(\delta t')_1\neq\emptyset} \otimes (\delta t')_2 \star \langle 1\rangle).
\end{aligned}$$

Using the induction hypothesis $\delta'(\sum_{t'\in X_{n-1}} t') = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} X_i \otimes X_{n-1-i}$, one has

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{t'\in X_{n-1}} ((\delta t')_1 \star (1) \otimes (\delta t')_2|_{(\delta t')_2\neq\emptyset} + (\delta t')_1|_{(\delta t')_1\neq\emptyset} \otimes (\delta t')_2 \star (1)) \\
&\supseteq \sum_{i=1}^{n} X_i \otimes X_{n-i}.
\end{aligned} \tag{42}$$

Employing the definition of δ', it is trivial to know that equation (41) is valid. Label the leaves of trees on the two sides in equation (41) with numbers $1, 2, \cdots, k$, make all permutations over the leaves, then sum over all the left-hand and right-hand sides separately. Equation (40) is verified after acting the weight map. □

For the correlation function order to g, we have the similar result.

Theorem 5.2. *The correlation function $W^g_{k+1}(p, p_1, \cdots, p_k)$ with $k > 0, g > 0$ satisfy the following equation:*

$$\begin{aligned}
&\delta'(W^g_{k+1}(p, p_1, \cdots, p_k)) \\
&= \operatorname*{Res}_{q\to\mathbf{a}} K_2(p; q, \bar{q})(\sum_{m=0}^{g} \sum_{J\subset K} W^m_{|J|+1}(q, \mathbf{p_J}) W^{g-m}_{k-|J|+1}(\bar{q}, \mathbf{p_{K/J}}) + W^{g-1}_{k+1}(q, \bar{q}, \mathbf{p_K})).
\end{aligned} \tag{43}$$

Proof. As before, we have the following equation

$$\delta'(S_k^g) = \sum_{m=0}^{g}\sum_{i=0}^{k} X_i^m \otimes X_{k-i}^{g-m} + X_{k+1}^{g-1}. \tag{44}$$

We prove (44) inductively on $l = 2g + k$. The induction is starting with $l = 2$, that is, $g = 1, k = 1$. The computation gives that

$$\langle 11\rangle \otimes \langle 2\rangle + \langle 2\rangle \otimes \langle 11\rangle + \langle 12\rangle + \langle 21\rangle = X_0^1 X_1^0 + X_1^0 X^1 + X_2^0.$$

Suppose that equation (44) is true for $l < n$. Now consider the case $l = n$.

$$\begin{aligned}\delta'(S_k^g) =& \delta' \mathcal{C}^g(S_{2g+k})\\ =& (\sum_{m=0}^{g} \mathcal{C}^m \otimes \mathcal{C}^{g-m} + \mathcal{C}^{g-1})\delta'(S_{2g+k})\\ =& (\sum_{m=0}^{g} \mathcal{C}^m \otimes \mathcal{C}^{g-m} + \mathcal{C}^{g-1})(\sum_{i=0}^{2g+k} X_i \otimes X_{2g+k-i})),\end{aligned}$$

where the operator $\mathcal{C}^g$ denotes all possible contractions of any g pairs of neighbour nearest leaves. Here we used the communication between the coproduct and the contraction operators.

Label the leaves of trees in each side of equation (44) with numbers $1, 2, \cdots, k$, make all the permutations of these leaves, then sum over the left-hand sides and the right-hand sides for each expression got by permutations. We can achieve equation (43). □

6 Conclusions and discussions

In this article, we propose a Hopf algebraic structure in the topological recursion on an arbitrary algebraic curve. Comparing with the Hopf algebra in Feynman diagrams, the operations here have completely different definitions for the properties of the graphs. The formal hermitian matrix integrals are important models in mathematical physics. It is not absurd to thinking that the Hopf algebra in topological recursion may have internal relationships to the structures in Feynman graphs of QFT. They originate in trees diagrams as well. In certain sense, the new Hopf algebra can be seen as the dual of Loday-Ronco Hopf algebra. While the Loday-Ronco Hopf algebra and the Connes-Kreimer Hopf algebra are known to be isomorphic[2]. It is interesting to find the relationships between the new Hopf algebra and the Connes-Kreimer Hopf algebra.

It is deserved to know the reasons of a Hopf algebra existence in the context of the topological recursions as well as the perturbative expansion. It may be helpful to understand the phenomenal of topological recursions. And do the properties in topological

recursions can be established in perturbative field theories? There are many problems remained to be clarified.

At last, it should be pointed out that, in this paper we limit to consider the topological recursion which is defined on algebraic curves with simple branch points. In this case, the recursion relations are represented by diagrams with trivalent vertices[14]. For more general topological recursion, in which there would have vertices beyond three-valent vertices[3], it should exist a Hopf algebraic structure, too. We hope to consider that in our future work.

Acknowledgments

The financial supports from the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant Nos. 11375258) are gratefully acknowledged from one of the author (Ding). L.Meng would like to thank J.N.Esteves for the explanation on the paper [10].

References

[1] J.Ambjørn, L.Chekhov, C.F.Kristjansen, Yu.Makeenko, "Matrix model calculations beyond the spherical limit," Nucl. Phys. B **404**, 127-172(1993).

[2] M.Aguiar, F.Sottile, "Structure of the Loday-Ronco Hopf algebra of trees," J. Algebra, **295**, 2, 473-511(2006).

[3] V. Bouchard, J. Hutchinson, P. Loliencar, M. Meiers and M. Rupert, "A generalized topological recursion for arbitrary ramification," Annales Henri Poincare, **15**, 143-169(2014).

[4] E.Brezin, C.Itzykson, G.Parisi, J.B.Zuber, "Planar diagrams," Commun. Math. Phys. **59**, 35–51(1978).

[5] L.O.Chekhov, B.Eynare, N.Orantin, "Free energy topological expansion for the 2-matrix model," JHEP **12**, 053(2006).

[6] A.Connes, D.Kreimer, "Renormalization in quantum field theory and the Riemann-Hilbert problems I: the Hopf algebra structure of graphs and the main theorem," Commun. Math. Phys. **210**, 249–273(2000).

[7] A.Connes, D.Kreimer, "Renormalization in quantum field theory and the Riemann-Hilbert problems II: the β-function, diffeomorphisms and the renormaliztion group," Commun. Math. Phys. **216**, 215–241(2001).

[8] R.Dijkgraaf and C.Vafa, "Matrix Models, Topological Strings, and Supersymmetric Gauge Theories", Nucl. Phys. **644**, 3–20(2002).

[9] R.Dijkgraaf and C.Vafa, "On Geometry and Matrix Models", Nucl. Phys. **644**, 21–39(2002).

[10] J.N.Esteves, "Hopf algebras and topological recursion," J. Phys. A: Math. Theor. **48**, 1-19(2015). arxiv:1503:02993v1.

[11] B.Eynard, "Topological expansion for the 1-hermitian matrix model correlation functions,"JHEP **11**, 031(2004).

[12] B.Eynard, "Master loop equations, free energy and correlations for the chain of matrices," JHEP **11**, 018(2003).

[13] B. Eynare, N. Orantin, "Topological expansion of the 2-matrix model correlation functions: diagrammatic rules for a residue formula," JHEP **12**, 034(2005).

[14] B.Eynard, N.Orantin, "Invariants of algebraic curves and topological expansion," Commun. Number Theory Phys. **1**, 347-452(2007).

[15] P.D.Francesco, P.H.Ginsparg, J.Zinn-Justin, "2D gravity and random matrices," Phys. Rep. **254**, 1-133(1995).

[16] G.'t Hooft, "A planar diagram theory for strong interactions," Nucl. Phys. B **72**, 461-473(1974).

[17] D.Kreimer, "On the Hopf algebra structure of perturbative quantum field theoy," Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. **2**, 303–334(1998).

[18] D.Kreimer, "On overlapping divergences," Commun. Math. Phys. **204**, 669–689(1999).

[19] J.L.Loday and M.O.Ronco, "Hopf algebra of the planar binary trees," Adv. Math. **139**, 293-309(1998).

[20] Yu.Makeenko, "Loop Equations and Virasoro Constraints in Matrix Models," arXiv:hep-th/9112058.

[21] C.Malvenuto and C.Reutenauer, "Duality between quasi-symmetric functions and the Solomon decent algebra," J. Algebra, **177**, 3, 967-982(1995).

[22] S.R. Wadia,"Dyson-Schwinger equations approach to the large-N limit: Model systems and string representation of Yang-Mills theory, Phys. Rev. D **24**, 970-978(1981).